Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 6 MAY 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 1 NOV 2004

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A-ut-			
Applicant's or agent's file reference CH920020011	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/IB 03/05137	International filing date (day/mon	priority date (day/month/year) 29.11.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or G06F17/30	both national classification and IPC		
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MA	CHINES CORPORATION et a	al.	
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to th	amination report has been prepar e applicant according to Article 30	ed by this International Preliminary Examining 6.	
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover	sheet.	
This report is also accompa been amended and are the (see Rule 70.16 and Section	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of basis for this report and/or sheets n 607 of the Administrative Instru	f the description, claims and/or drawings which have s containing rectifications made before this Authority	
These annexes consist of a total	of sheets.	cuons under the PC1).	
3. This report contains indications re	lating to the following items:		
Basis of the opinion			
II Priority	,		
III Non-establishment of c	ppinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability	
Lack of unity of invention	on		
	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard t ons supporting such statement	to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
VI Certain documents cite	d		
VII Certain defects in the ir			
	the international application		
ate of submission of the demand	Date of co	mpletion of this report	
	54.5 01 001	Topolon of the report	
5.05.2004	10.11.20	04	
ame and malling address of the International eliminary examining authority:	Authorized	Officer	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	Wienold,	N Standard Control of the Control of	
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	•	No. +49 89 2399-2139	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/05137

l.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	D	escription, Pages	
	1-	16	as originally filed
	CI	aims, Numbers	
	1-	18	as originally filed
	Dr	awings, Sheets	
	1/2	2-2/2	as originally filed
2.	Wi lan	th regard to the lang guage in which the i	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Th	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pul	olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a to Rule 55.2 and/or 55	ranslation furnished for the purposes of interpotional profile in a second second
3.	Wit inte	th regard to any nucl ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.
		filed together with the	ne international application in computer readable form.
			ntly to this Authority in written form.
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that is listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence iished.
١.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
1		the drawings,	sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/05137

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-18

No: Claims

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims 1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US 2002/133621 A1 (LEIBOWITZ NATHANIEL ET AL) 19 September 2002 (2002-09-19)
- D2: WO 01/75652 A (CT SPAN COMM CORP) 11 October 2001 (2001-10-11)
- D3: US 2002/062336 A1 (BJORNER NIKOLAJ S ET AL) 23 May 2002 (2002-05-23)
- D4: WO 02/15035 A (NAPSTER INC) 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21)
- 1. D1, which is regarded as closest prior art, discloses:
- a) method of providing index server support to a file sharing application, see e.g. abstract; queries to index servers are intercepted and answered with cached responses;
- b) handling requests for investigating a hierarchical structure of index servers in a network, including intercepting an investigation request that is directed to a remote index server; see abstract; fig. 1; queries for searched files are sent into the p2p-network comprising an hierarchy of index servers (ref. 52) and intercepted (i.e. handled) by the index servers.
- 2. The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the originator of the investigation request is notified of the intercepting index server identity.
- 3. The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 4. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as 'how to optimize the retrieval of data files via an file sharing application?'.
- 5. The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The solution of claim 1 of notifying the requesting client of the identity of the intercepting index server is not disclosed in D1. In the opposite, D1 discloses that the

acceleration server of D1 act as a transparent proxy server, see D1, p. 5, paragraph 66. Therefore, the identity of the acceleration server is unknown to the requesting client when receiving the cached response of the acceleration server which serves simply as proxy cache for queries and responses which is an alternative solution for the problem posed.

D2 provides also a solution to optimize the data retrieval via an file sharing application. This is achieved by assigning an index server to file sharing clients which are in topologically close. But this is achieved via a static solution, which is based on the so called 'media exchange server assignment table', see e.g. D2, p. 4, l. 29 -31. No dynamic discovery of an index server is performed.

D3 uses a server system called registrar registering file sharing clients to so-called RNS servers, which provide the indexing information to the clients. The registrar must be known to the client, see e.g. D3, p. 2, paragraph 31 to p.3, paragraph 33. Therefore, no dynamic discovery of an index server is performed.

D4 uses a so-called host monitor to monitor the peer-to -peer network. Based on the collect data, hosts (i.e. clients of the p2p-network) are ranked according to their suitability to serve as entry points into the p2p-network (e.g. Gnutella). When entering, clients query the host monitor for a suitable host for starting a query. Again, no dynamic discovery of an index server according to claim 1 is performed.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 6. Claims 2-12 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 7. Independent claims 15 (view of requesting client) and 18 (mixed client and server view) correspond to independent claim 1 (view of intercepting index server). Therefore, claims 15 and 18 and dependent claims 16 and 17 also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Further Remarks

1. Essential features are missing in claims 1, 15 and 18 (Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT). In claim 15 the concept of intercepting the investigation request is missing, whereas this concept is correctly contained in claims 1

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/IB 03/05137

and 18. Furthermore, the step of intercepting is performed by a router connected to the index server, see description p. 10, l. 6-15. Otherwise, it would be unclear how messages could be intercepted by the index server. This feature is missing in claims 1, 15 and 18.